

ISSN: 3007-4487

SIERRA LEONE JOURNAL OF MEDICINE

The Official Journal of University of Sierra Leone Teaching Hospitals Complex

Journal Homepage: www.sljm.org



Prevalence, Risk Factors and Maternal-Fetal Outcomes of Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy: A 5-Year Retrospective Review at a Cottage Hospital in Rivers State, Nigeria.

^{1,2}Aduloju O. P., ³Fajola A., ⁴Tuboteme D.S.

¹Sabbatical Research Advisor, Department of Community Health, Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited, Port-Harcourt, Nigeria, ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria, ³Regional Health Manager, Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited, Port-Harcourt, Nigeria, ⁴Maternity Unit, Obio Cottage Hospital, Port-Harcourt, Nigeria

Corresponding Author: O. P. Olusola;olusola.aduloju@eksu.edu.ng; peter.aduloju@yahoo.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received: July 8, 2024

Accepted: November 27, 2024

Published: January 9, 2025

Corresponding Author: Aduloju Olusola Peter

Technical Information

How to Cite: Aduloju O.P. Prevalence, Risk Factors and Maternal-Fetal Outcomes of Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy: A 5-Year Retrospective Review at a Cottage Hospital in Rivers State, Nigeria: SLJM 2024;Vol 1(2) pp 1-6. https://doi.org/10.69524/sljm.v2i1.106

Editor-in-Chief: Prof. Kehinde S. Oluwadiya, University of Sierra Leone Teaching Hospitals Complex, Freetown, Sierra Leone.

Copyright: © 2024, Aduloju O.P. et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: No funding was received for this study.

Ethical Consideration

Conflict of interest: The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest that are directly or indirectly related to the research.

ABSTRACT

Background: Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) remains one of the major causes of maternal morbidity and mortality worldwide. This study determined the prevalence, risk factors and outcomes of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy among attendees at a Cottage Hospital in Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Methods: The study was a retrospective analysis of all pregnant women managed for HDP at Obio Cottage Hospital between January 2019 and December 2023. Relevant data were extracted using the study proforma and analysed using IBM SPSS version 26. Level of significance was set at P-value < 0.05.

Results: The prevalence of HDP was 4.7% while gestational hypertension was the commonest form of HDP (40.3%) and chronic hypertension with superimposed preeclampsia was the least (11.8%). History of chronic hypertension (13.3%) was the commonest risk factor identified and preterm delivery (6.8%) was the most common complication. More than half of the women delivered above 37 weeks (58.2%) and had induction of labour (56.3%). About 48.5% of the women delivered through the vaginal route and about 90% of the babies had Apgar scores of at least 7 at both 1 and 5 minutes.

Conclusions: Though the prevalence of HDP in this study was within the global rate, extremes of age, nulliparity, previous and family history of hypertension, DM and multiple pregnancy were identified risk factors. Regular antenatal care and prompt management of HDP based on established protocol will improve maternal and perinatal outcomes and reduce adverse outcomes of HDP.

Key words: Prevalence, Risk Factors, Outcomes, Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy

1. INTRODUCTION

Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) remains a public health problem globally and one of the leading causes of maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality¹⁻³. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy refer to a spectrum of hypertensive diseases occurring during pregnancy with a global prevalence of 5.2-8.2% and contributing about 10-15% to maternal deaths annually worldwide^{1,4}. About 19-32% of maternal deaths in South Africa are due to hypertensive disorders of pregnancy while in Ghana, it is responsible for 9% of maternal deaths ^{5,6}. In Nigeria, 5-10% of pregnancies are complicated by HDP with more antenatal admissions resulting from this than any other disorder and it accounts for 29% of all maternal deaths ^{7,8}.

The International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy (ISSHP) classified the disorders into gestational hypertension, gestational proteinuric hypertension (preeclampsia), chronic hypertension and chronic hypertension with superimposed preeclampsia. Risk factors that have been identified with HDP include a first-time pregnancy, age, higher body mass index, smoking, dyslipidaemia, diabetes mellitus and renal disease¹⁰. Adverse maternal and fetal outcomes have been shown to be associated with HDP. The maternal complications include acute renal failure, acute hepatic failure, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, HELLP syndrome, eclampsia, postpartum haemorrhage and maternal death while the adverse fetal outcomes are intrauterine growth restriction,

placental abruption, preterm delivery, low birth weight and perinatal death^{2,7,11,12}.

The management of a pregnant patient with elevated blood pressure involves controlling the blood pressure, identifying the presence of any complications, treating presenting symptoms, and reducing the risk of progression to eclampsia. Urgent or emergent lowering of the blood pressure might be required based on the presenting blood pressure and the presence of signs of end-organ dysfunction^{13,14}. Randomised controlled trials have shown that magnesium sulphate is effective for seizure prophylaxis in preeclamptic patients and for the prevention of recurrent seizures in eclamptic patients¹⁵.

This study determined the prevalence, risk factors and outcomes of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy among attendees in a Cottage Hospital in Port-Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria. There has been no previous study on hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in our centre and findings from this study will provide baseline information on management of HDP in the centre. The study would also provide basis for comparison with global findings, formulation of protocol to improve management of HDP and for comparison of trend in future reviews in the centre.

2. METHODOLOGY

This retrospective study was carried out at the Maternity unit of Obio Cottage Hospital, Port Harcourt between 1st January, 2019 and 31st December, 2023. Obio Cottage Hospital is a primary health centre upgraded by The Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited and its Joint Venture partners (SPDC-JV) in 2010 to provide affordable and accessible maternal and child health care. This is achieved through its Community Health Insurance Scheme (CHIS), a public-private partnership (PPP) involving the SPDC-JV partners, Rivers State Government, and the community. The monthly antenatal booking and antenatal clinic visits average at 400 and 2500 respectively with a delivery rate of about 260 babies every month. The antenatal clinic runs from Tuesday to Thursday while booking clinic runs on Mondays and Fridays. During the clinic, pregnant women are weighed and have their blood pressure checked and urine tested for glucose and protein. This allows us to detect pregnant women who may develop HDP and are subsequently managed according to our protocol for the management of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.

Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy were classified in this study based on the classification described by the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy (ISSHP) which classified the disorders into gestational hypertension, gestational pro-

Table 1: Mean Values for Measured Variables of Women with Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy

Variables	Mean ± Standard Deviation		
Age of Women	28.9 ± 6.3 years		
Parity of Women	1.1 ± 1.2		
Booking Weight	62.5 ± 8.8 kg		
Gestational Age at Presentation	32.1 ± 2.4 Weeks		
Gestational Age at Delivery	37.0 ± 2.0 Weeks		
Systolic Blood Pressure	157.5 ± 19.3mmHg		
Diastolic Blood Pressure	101.9 ± 14.3mmHg		
Birth Weight	2.9 ± 0.7 kg		

teinuric hypertension (preeclampsia), chronic hypertension and chronic hypertension with superimposed preeclampsia [9]. Hypertension in pregnancy was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg and Significant proteinuria using urine dipstick is described as 2+ or more of proteinuria in two catheter urine specimens or 1+ with specific gravity of less than 1.030 and pH less than 8. Gestational hypertension is elevated blood pressure that develops after 20 weeks of pregnancy while preeclampsia refers to the development of hypertension and significant proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation. Chronic hypertension refers to high blood pressure that either precedes pregnancy, is diagnosed within the first 20 weeks of pregnancy or does not resolve by the 12th week postpartum and eclampsia was defined as the presence of generalized tonic-clonic seizures on the background preeclampsia^{7,9}.

All cases of pregnant women who were managed for HDP as defined above and delivered in the facility and followed up to six weeks after delivery were included in the study while those who did not deliver in the facility or were lost to follow up before the sixth week postpartum were excluded. Their folder numbers were compiled from the records in the antenatal, postnatal and labour wards and the theatre. The folders were retrieved from the Health Information Management Unit of the hospital and relevant data were extracted from the folders using a study proforma. Data extracted include sociodemographic characteristics of the women such as age, educational status, marital status, occupation, booking weight and obstetric data such as gravidity, parity, gestational age at booking, gestational age at diagnosis, gestational age at delivery, blood pressure at booking, blood pressure at the time of admission, mode of delivery, birth weight, Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes, perinatal and maternal complications

Data collected were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). Categorical variables were presented in frequency and percentages while continuous variables were expressed in mean and standard deviation. Chi square was used in testing for significance for categorical variables and student t-test for continuous variables. P value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical consideration

The study was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the Community Health Department of Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria.

Data Availability Statement

The data supporting the findings of this study is available on Open Science Forum (OSF) at https://osf.io/pj37f/ or https://osf.io/pj37f/ files/osfstorage

3. RESULTS

A There was a total of 14,483 deliveries over the study period and 687 women had hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) giving a prevalence of 4.7%. However, the prevalence of gestational hypertension was 1.4%, preeclampsia 1.9%, chronic hypertension 0.8% and that of chronic hypertension with superimposed preeclampsia was 0.6%. Out of the 687 women with HDP, 655 case records were available for analysis with a retrieval rate of 95.3%.

Table 1 shows that the mean age and mean booking weight of women with HDP were 28.9 ± 6.3 years and 62.5 ± 8.8 kg respectively with a median parity of 1. The mean systolic and diastolic

Table 2: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Women with Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy

pertensive Disorders of Pregnancy							
Variables	Frequency (N=655)	Percentages(%)					
Age of Women (Years)							
≤ 20	46	7.0					
21-25	190	29.0					
26-30	146	22.3					
31-35	114	17.4					
> 35	159	24.3					
Education of Women							
No Formal Education	72	11.0					
Primary	90	13.7					
Secondary	157	24.0					
Tertiary	336	51.3					
Occupation of Women							
Unemployed	86	13.1					
Self-Employed	197	30.1					
Privately Employed	133	20.3					
Government Em-	239	36.5					
ployed							
Parity of Women							
0	247	37.7					
1	222	33.9					
2-4	172	26.3					
≥ 5	14	2.1					
Gestational Age at Presentation (Weeks)							
≤ 28	6	0.9					
28.1-33.6	511	78.0					
34.0-36.6	121	18.5					
≥ 37	17	2.6					

blood pressure were 157.5 \pm 19.3mmHg and 101.9 \pm 14.3mmHg respectively. About three-quarters (75.6%) of the women were between 21-35 years and half (51.3%) of them had tertiary education. Other sociodemographic characteristics of the women are as stated in table 2.

Table 3 shows that majority (40.3%) of the women had gestational hypertension (preeclampsia) while chronic hypertension with superimposed preeclampsia was the least form of hypertensive disorders accounting for 11.8%. About half (52.1%) of the women had proteinuria. Past history of chronic hypertension was the commonest risk factor identified in about 13.3% of the women while preterm delivery (6.1%) was most common complication. Other risk factors and complications as shown in the table.

Table 4 reveals that about 58.2% of the women were delivered at a gestational age of 37 weeks or more with 56.3% of them undergoing induction of labour while, vaginal delivery was the commonest (48.5%) route of delivery. More than 90% of the babies were delivered alive with good Apgar scores at one and five minutes of at least 7. Other fetal outcomes are as stated in table 3.

4. DISCUSSION

The prevalence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) in our centre as revealed from this study was 4.7%. This rate is comparable to a prevalence of 4.9% reported by Adebowojo et al⁷ from Southwest Nigeria and 3.7% reported by Mbachu et al¹⁵ from Southeast Nigeria. However, it is lower than reported prevalence of 5-10% from Bida Northwest Nigeria, Ibadan Southwest Nigeria, Benin-city Southsouth Nigeria, Ireland and Ethiopia¹⁶⁻²⁰.The variation in the rates might be due to the fact that these other centres are tertiary hospitals and being referral centres, they would manage a large number of high-risk pregnancies including HDP. Gestational hypertension was the most common HDP recorded in this study accounting for 40.3% with a prevalence of 1.9%. This is

Table 3: Hypertensive Disorders and Risk Factors				
Variables	Frequency (N=655)	Percentages (%)		
Types of Hypertensive Disorders				
Gestational Hypertension	264	40.3		
Preeclampsia	195	29.7		
Chronic Hypertension	119	18.2		
Chronic Hypertension with Super-	77	11.8		
imposed Preeclampsia				
Proteinuria				
Yes	341	52.1		
No	314	47.9		
Risk Factors				
Past History of Chronic Hyperten-	87	13.3		
sion				
Previous History of Preeclampsia	74	11.3		
Diabetes Mellitus	44	6.7		
Multiple Gestations	35	5.3		
Family History of Hypertension	33	5.1		
Smoking	0	0		
Alcohol	0	0		
Complications of hypertensive disorders				
Intrauterine Growth Restriction	14	2.1		
Abruptio Placentae	14	2.1		
Intrauterine Fetal Death	16	2.4		
Pulmonary Oedema	4	0.6		
Preterm Delivery	40	6.1		
Low birth Weight	7	1.1		
None	560	85.5		

comparable to findings from other studies where gestational hypertension was reported as the commonest HDP^{6,16,21} but in contrast with the finding from the study by Corrigan et al¹⁹ that reported preeclampsia as the commonest hypertensive disorders of pregnancy.

Age and parity of the women are risk factors affecting the prevalence of HDP that have been reported in previous studies^{6,22,23}. The extremes of age have been documented in literature to be at higher risk of HDP and proven to be non-modifiable risk factor^{21,24}. This is corroborated by what we found out in this study where majority of the cases were in women below 25 years and above 35 years. Our study showed that majority of the women who had HDP were nulliparous while it was lowest in grand-multiparous women. This is similar to findings in other studies where nulliparous and grand-multiparous have been reported to be at increased risk of HDP especially gestational hypertension and pre-eclampsia^{6,21,22}. The influence of age and parity on the occurrence of hypertension in pregnancy further demonstrated that increasing maternal age and parity are associated with medical disorders of pregnancy including hypertension.

Other risk factors for HDP identified in this study include previous history of chronic hypertension and preeclampsia, multiple pregnancy and diabetes mellitus and these have been similarly reported in other studies^{19,21,22,25}. The authors opined that these findings are consistent with the theory of immune maladaptation and increasing maternal age which might play significant roles in the onset of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy^{19,21,22,25}. About 5% of the women with family history of hypertension in this review developed HDP and this corroborated previous studies where it was reported that women with maternal family history of hypertension were three times more likely to develop HDP compared to other women^{21,26,27}. These studies also revealed that a family history of hypertension is a risk factor that points towards worsening maternal outcomes^{21,26,27}.

Table 4: Maternal and Fetal Outcomes in Women with Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy

Variables Frequency (N=655) Percentages (%) Gestational Age at Delivery (weeks) ≤ 36.6 274 41.8 ≥ 37 381 58.2 Mode of Delivery 318 48.5 Emergency Caesarean Section 240 36.6 Elective Caesarean Section 97 14.8 Fetal Outcome 42 36.6 Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute 6 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) 42.4 6.4 ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth	•	sive Disorders of Pregnancy	_	_
≤ 36.6 274 41.8 ≥ 37 381 58.2 Mode of Delivery 318 48.5 Emergency Caesarean Section 240 36.6 Elective Caesarean Section 97 14.8 Fetal Outcome Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute ≤ 6 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Meternal Complications 6 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4		Variables	Frequency (N=655)	Percentages (%)
≥ 37 381 58.2 Mode of Delivery 318 48.5 Emergency Caesarean Section 240 36.6 Elective Caesarean Section 97 14.8 Fetal Outcome Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes 613 93.6 ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU V Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 <		Gestational Age at Delivery (weeks)		
Mode of Delivery 318 48.5 Emergency Caesarean Section 240 36.6 Elective Caesarean Section 97 14.8 Fetal Outcome Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute ≤ 6 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Wild Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 9.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3		≤ 36.6	274	41.8
Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery 318 48.5 Emergency Caesarean Section 240 36.6 Elective Caesarean Section 97 14.8 Fetal Outcome Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute ≤ 6 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complica		≥ 37	381	58.2
Emergency Caesarean Section 240 36.6 Elective Caesarean Section 97 14.8 Fetal Outcome Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute ≤ 6 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury		Mode of Delivery		
Elective Caesarean Section 97 14.8 Fetal Outcome Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minutes ≤ 6 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 <t< td=""><td></td><td>Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery</td><td>318</td><td>48.5</td></t<>		Spontaneous Vaginal Delivery	318	48.5
Fetal Outcome Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute 6 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes 595 90.8 ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) 33.9 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Emergency Caesarean Section	240	36.6
Alive 638 97.4 Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes 42 6.4 ≥ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Elective Caesarean Section	97	14.8
Dead 17 2.6 Apgar Score at 1 Minute 60 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) 222 33.9 ≥ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Ves 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Veres 54 8.2 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Fetal Outcome		
Apgar Score at 1 Minute ≤ 6 9.2 ≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes ≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Alive	638	97.4
\$ 6 6 9.2		Dead	17	2.6
≥ 7 595 90.8 Apgar Score at 5 Minutes 42 6.4 ≥ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) 33.9 ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU V Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Apgar Score at 1 Minute		
Apgar Score at 5 Minutes 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		≤ 6	60	9.2
≤ 6 42 6.4 ≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		≥ 7	595	90.8
≥ 7 613 93.6 Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Apgar Score at 5 Minutes		
Birth Weight (kg) ≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		≤ 6	42	6.4
≤ 2.4 222 33.9 2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		≥ 7	613	93.6
2.5-3.9 414 63.2 ≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Birth Weight (kg)		
≥ 4 19 2.9 Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		≤ 2.4	222	33.9
Admission into NICU Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		2.5-3.9	414	63.2
Yes 54 8.2 No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		≥ 4	19	2.9
No 601 91.8 Indications for Admission into NICU 4 91.8 Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Admission into NICU		
Indications for Admission into NICU Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Yes	54	8.2
Mild Birth Asphyxia 14 2.1 Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		No	601	91.8
Moderate Birth Asphyxia 9 1.4 Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 35 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Indications for Admission into NICU		
Severe Birth Asphyxia 0.9 6 Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications 35 5.3 Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Mild Birth Asphyxia	14	2.1
Prematurity 16 2.4 Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Moderate Birth Asphyxia	9	1.4
Sepsis 9 1.4 Maternal Complications Eclampsia 35 5.3 Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Severe Birth Asphyxia	0.9	6
Maternal ComplicationsEclampsia355.3Postpartum Haemorrhage233.5Acute Kidney Injury152.3		Prematurity	16	2.4
Eclampsia355.3Postpartum Haemorrhage233.5Acute Kidney Injury152.3		Sepsis	9	1.4
Postpartum Haemorrhage 23 3.5 Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Maternal Complications		
Acute Kidney Injury 15 2.3		Eclampsia	35	5.3
		Postpartum Haemorrhage	23	3.5
Maternal Death 10 1.5		Acute Kidney Injury	15	2.3
		Maternal Death	10	1.5

Majority (51.5%) of the women with HDP were delivered by caesarean section which was similar to report of 51.7% by Tukur et al 28 but lower than 71.4% reported by Kooffreh et al 26 and higher than 45.4% by Corrigan et al 19 . This was due to the fact that most of the cases were rapidly progressing or severe at presentation and, therefore, required immediate delivery by the fastest route after stabilization which in most cases is caesarean delivery. This is evidenced by the mean blood pressure at presentation with systolic blood pressure of 157.5 ± 19.3 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 101.9 ± 14.3 mmHg and with 52.1% of the women having proteinuria. This was similarly expressed by Kooffreh et al 26 in their study.

The mean birth weight of the baby delivered to women with HDP in this study was 2.9 ± 0.7 kg and this is comparable to 2.7 kg reported by Singh et al²² and Kooffreh et al²⁶. The babies were of average birth weight and this might be due to the fact that majority of the women presented between 28-34 weeks gestation with mean gestational age at presentation of 32 weeks and were mostly delivered above 37 weeks with mean gestational age of delivery of 37 weeks. This might also account for the low incidence of preterm delivery and low birthweight babies in this study. This was supported by other studies 22,26,29 .

The maternal and perinatal complications observed in this study included eclampsia, acute kidney injury, abruptio placentae, intrauterine growth restriction, intrauterine fetal death and preterm delivery including maternal death from HDP among others were

not different from the documented findings in previous studies^{19,20,22,26}. However, we recorded few maternal and perinatal complications in this review probably as a result of regular antenatal care and prompt management of cases of HDP in our centre using the protocol and guidelines on management of HDP.

The limitations of this study include its retrospective design with the absence of some data set that could have been analysed. The study is also an hospital-based study which would mean that the result cannot be generalized to the entire population. However, the strength of this study lies in the fact that this is the first study on HDP in the centre and provides baseline information on the disease.

4.1 Conclusion

In conclusion, the study showed that the prevalence of HDP in our centre is within the global rate and gestational hypertension is the commonest type of HDP. Extremes of age, nulliparity, previous and family history of hypertension, DM and multiple pregnancy were identified risk factors for HDP. Regular antenatal care and prompt management of HDP based on established protocol will improve maternal and perinatal outcomes and reduce adverse outcomes of HDP.

4.2 Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge all the respondents and the health team involved in their care. We also acknowledge the officers of the Health Information Management Department that retrieved the case files and the medical officers that extracted the information from the files.

Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT) Statement

OPA: Conceptualized the study, wrote initial manuscript draft, and made significant revisions to the content of the manuscript

FA: Analysed the data and made significant revisions to the content of the manuscript

TDS: Data acquisition and analysis

All authors approved the final draft of the manuscript

Conflicts of Interest:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding:

The authors received no external funding for this research.

REFERENCE

- 1. Umesawa M, Kobashi G. Epidemiology of hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: prevalence, risk factors, predictors and prognosis. Hypertens Research. 2017; 40(3):213-20.
- Sageer R, Kongnyuy E, Adebimpe WO, Omosehin O, Ogunsola EA, Sanni B. Causes and contributory factors of maternal mortality: evidence from maternal and perinatal death surveillance and response in Ogun state, Southwest Nigeria. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2019; 19(1): 63 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2202-1
- 3. Nakimuli A, Nakubulwa S, Kakaire O, Osinde MO, Mbalinda SN, Kakande N, Nabirye RC, Kaye KK. The burden of maternal morbidity and mortality attributable to hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: a prospective cohort study from Uganda. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2016; 16:205 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-1001-1

- Trends in maternal mortality 2000 to 2020: estimates by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, World Bank Group and UNDESA/ Population Division [Internet]; Available from: https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240068759. Accessed on 19 February, 2024
- Berhan Y, Endeshaw G. Maternal mortality predictors in women with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: a retrospective cohort study. Ethiopian Journal Health Sciences. 2015;25(1):89-98. https://doi.org/10.4314/ejhs.v25i1.12
- 6. Adu-Bonsaffoh, K., Ntumy MY, Obed SA, Seffah JD. Prevalence of Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy at Korle-Bu Teaching Hospital in Ghana. J Gynecol Neonatal Biol 2017; 3(1): 8-13.
- 7. Adebawojo O, Akadri A, Imaralu J. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: A five-year review in Babcock University Teaching Hospital, Ilishan-Remo, Ogun State, Nigeria. BUMJ 2020 3(1): 67-72 https://doi.org/10.38029/bumj.v3i1.35
- 8. Salako BL, Aimakhu CO, Odukogbe AA, Olayemi O, Adedapo KS. A review of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy. Afr J Med Med Sci. 2004; 33(2):99-103. https://doi.org/10.4314/eamj.v80i8.8735
- Brown MA, Lindheimer MD, de Swiet M, Van Assche A, Moutquin JM. The classification and diagnosis of the hypertensive disorders of pregnancy: statement from the International Society for the Study of Hypertension in Pregnancy (ISSHP). Hypertens Pregnancy 2001; 20(1): IX-XIV. doi: 10.1081/PRG-100104165. PMID: 12044323
- Tanaka K, Nishigori H, Watanabe Z et al. Higher prevalence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in women who smoke: the Japan environment and children's study. Hypertens Research 2019; 42:558–566 https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41440-019-0206-x
- Tooher J, Thornton C, Makris A, Ogle R, Korda A, Hennessy A. All Hypertensive Disorders of pregnancy increase the risk of Future Cardiovascular Disease. Hypertension. 2018;70(4):798–803. https://doi.org/10.1161/ hypertensionaha.117.09246
- 12. Mahmoud Z, Orji IA, Shedul GL, Aluka-Omitiran K, Ripiye N, Akor B, Eze H, Ojo T, Iyer G, Baldridge AS, Hirschhorn LR, Huffman MD, Ojji DB. Clinical characteristics and treatment patterns of pregnant women with hypertension in primary care in the Federal Capital Territory of Nigeria: cross-sectional results from the hypertension treatment in Nigeria Program. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2023; 23:416 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-023-05723-1
- 13. Elfarra F, Bean C, Martin JN. Management of Hypertensive Crisis for the Obstetrician/Gynecologist. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am 2016; 43(4): 623-637
- Wilkerson RG, Ogunbodede AC. Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy. Emergency Med Clinics North America 2019; 37(2): 301-316. doi:10.1016/j.emc.2019.01.008
- Mbachu I, Udigwe GO, Okafor CI, Umeonunihu OS,
 Ezeama C, Eleje GU. The Pattern and Obstetric Outcome

- of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy in Nnewi, Nigeria. Niger J Med 2013; 22(2): 117-122
- Idris H, Duum NCN, Adamu UG, Abdullateef RM, Yabagi IA.
 Hypertensive Disorders in Pregnancy: Pattern and Obstetric Outcome in Bida, Nigeria. Niger Med J 2020; 61(1): 42-47
- Salako BL, Odukogbe AT, Olayemi O, Adedapo KS, Aimakhu CO. Prevalence of hypertension at antenatal booking and delivery in Ibadan. Trop J Obstet Gynaecol. 2003; 20: 49-55
- Onyiriuka AN, Okolo AA. Neonatal morbidity pattern in infants born in Benin city to Nigerian mothers with hypertensive disorders in pregnancy. Niger J Clin Pract 2007; 10: 294-9.
- Corrigan L, O'Farrell, Moran P, Daly D. Hypertension in pregnancy: Prevalence, risk factors and outcomes for women birthing in Ireland. Pregnancy Hypertension: An International Journal of Women's Cardiovascular Health, 2021; 24: 1-6
- 20. Wolde Z, Segni, H., Woldie, M. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in Jimma University specialized hospital. Ethiop J Health Sci 2011; 21(3): 147-154
- Mathew R, Devanesan BP, Sreedevi SNS. Prevalence of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, associated factors and pregnancy complications in a primigravida population. Gynecol Obstet Clin Med 2023; 3: 119-123
- 22. Singh S, Ahmed EB, Egondu SC, Ikechukwu NE. Hypertensive disorders in pregnancy among pregnant women in a Nigerian Teaching Hospital. Niger Med J 2014; 55: 384-388
- 23. Olusanya BO, Solanke OA. Perinatal outcomes associated maternal hypertensive disorders of pregnancy in a developing country. Hypertens Pregnancy 2012; 31:120-130
- 24. Li X, Tan H, Huang X, Zhou S, Hu S, Wang X, Xu X, Liu Q, Wen SW. Similarities and differences between the risk factors for gestational hypertension and preeclampsia: a population-based cohort study in south China. Hypertens Pregnancy. 2016; 6(1): 66-71
- 25. Bezerra PCFM, Leão MD, Queiroz JW, Melo EM, Pereira FV, Nobrega MH, Jeronimo Ak, Ferreira LC, Jerõnimo SM, de Araujo AC. Family history of hypertension as an important risk factor for the development of severe preeclampsia. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2010;89(5):612–617
- Kooffreh ME, Ekott M, Ekpoudom DO. The prevalence of pre-eclampsia among pregnant women in the University of Calabar Teaching Hospital, Calabar. Saudi J Health Sci 2014; 3: 133-136
- Skjaerven R, Vatten LJ, Wilcox AJ, Rønning T, Irgens LM, Lie RT. Recurrence of preeclampsia across generations: Exploring foetal and maternal genetic components in a population-based cohort. BMJ 2005; 331(7521):877. doi: 10.1136/bmj.38555.462685.8F
- 28. Tukur J, Umar BA, Rabi'u A. Pattern of eclampsia in tertiary health facility situated in semi@rural town on northern Nige-

ria. Ann Afr Med 2007; 6: 1642167

29. Obed SA, Aniteye P. Birth weight and ponderal index in preeclampsia: A comparative study. Ghana Med J 2006; 40: 8-13